

STATIC LOAD TEST – A COMPARISON OF ULTIMATE LOAD BETWEEN
STATNAMIC AND MAINTAINED LOAD TEST

MASTURA BINTI AZMI

A project report submitted in partial fulfillment of the
requirements for the award of degree of Master of
Engineering (Civil-Geotechnic)

Faculty of Civil Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

MEI 2005

To everybody,
thank you so much

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Through my preparation for this project, I have met several people that gave a lot of contribution towards this study. Many thanks to Dr. Khairul Anuar b Kassim who has been supervised me throughout this study and thank you for all the knowledge that you gave to me. I would also like to forward my thought to Mr. Tan Hui Hock of Geonamics Sdn. Bhd. who has been providing me with the necessary information for this study. I would also like to express my appreciation to Mr. Liu Chong Yew of SPYTL who has been a great mentor to me. Without the support from these people the thesis would not finish as this.

My sincere appreciation should also go to the people's incharge of the projects that I went to get my data and information. All the information are valuable for this thesis and many thanks to you. I would also like to thank all the people involve directly or indirectly in this thesis. Not to forget my family and friends.

ABSTRACT

This study is conducted to give a good comparison of the ultimate load between the statnamic load test and the maintained load test. The study was done using three methods to predict the ultimate load of statnamic load test. The three methods are the Unloading Point Method, Matsumoto method and Simultaneous Equation method. The statnamic and maintained load test was done on two types of bored pile that are bored pile cast on limestone rock and bored pile cast on granite rock. The result shown in the study gave a different outcome. From the settlement-load curves derived, the result for the comparison of statnamic and maintained load test for bored pile cast on limestone gave an almost similar result. Thus, it can be said that the result for both statnamic and maintained load test can be used to determine the ultimate capacity of the pile. Where else, the result for bored pile cast on granite rock gave a very large difference due to large difference of length for the two bored pile. Therefore, it can be conclude that the result for this study on comparison of statnamic load test and maintained load test for bored pile cast on granite can not be used.

ABSTRAK

Projek ini dijalankan untuk mencari perbandingan kekuatan maksima di antara ujian beban statnamic dan ujian beban tertahan. Projek ini dijalankan menggunakan 3 kaedah berbeza bagi menentukan beban maksima dari ujian beban statnamic. 3 kaedah itu adalah kaedah pembebanan titik, kaedah Matsumoto dan kaedah penyelesaian persamaan serentak. Ujian statnamic dan beban tertahan telah dilakukan ke atas dua jenis *bored pile* iaitu cerucuk yang ditanam di atas batuan kapur dan di atas batuan granit. Ujian telah memberikan keputusan yang berbeza. Bagi keputusan ujian statnamic dan beban tertahan ke atas cerucuk di atas batuan kapur memberikan hasil yang lebih kurang sama tetapi bagi cerucuk yang terletak di atas batuan granit tidak. Ini berkemungkinan di sebabkan oleh perbezaan kedalaman yang sangat ketara. Oleh itu, bolehlah dikatakan bahawa perbandingan bagi ujian statnamic dan ujian beban tertahan bagi cerucuk yang dikorek di atas batuan granit bagi projek adalah gagal dan tidak boleh dibandingkan.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
ORGANISATION OF THESIS		
	Thesis Status Declaration	
	Declaration	
	Supervisor's declaration	
	Declaration of originality	ii
	Dedication	iii
	Acknowledgement	iv
	Abstract	v
	Abstrak	vi
	Table of Content	vii
	List of Tables	xi
	List of Figures	xii
1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Objectives	2
1.2	Scopes	2
1.3	Problem Statement	3

2	LITERATURE REVIEW	5
2.1	Site Investigation	6
2.1.1	Planning	6
2.1.2	Depth of Exploration	7
2.1.3	Groundwater Condition	7
2.1.4	Sampling, in-situ testing and lab testing	8
2.2	Basic Piling Methods	10
2.2.1	Pile Types	10
2.2.1.1	Non-displacement piles	11
2.2.1.1.1	Bored cast-in-place	12
2.2.1.1.2	Small-diameter Percussion bored-cast- In-situ piles	13
2.2.1.1.3	Large-diameter Percussion bored pile	14
2.2.1.1.4	Rotary bored cast-in- -place pile	15
2.2.1.2	Pile formation with rotary Boring equipment	17
2.2.1.3	Boring and Concreting	18
2.2.2	Concrete mixes for bored piles	19
2.2.3	Reinforcement for bored piles	21
2.2.4	Excavation using a bentonite suspension	21
2.3	Pile Testing	22
2.3.1	Introduction	22
2.4	Load Testing of Piles	24
2.4.1	General	24
2.4.2	Test equipment	25
2.4.3	Load application and measurement	26
2.4.3.1	Measurement of settlement	27
2.5	Pile Load Test Procedure	27
2.5.1	Maintained load test	28
2.6	Interpretation of the results	29
2.6.1	Load-settlement curves	29
2.6.2	Estimation of ultimate load	30

2.6.3	Settlement criteria	30
2.7	Statnamic test	31
2.7.1	Introduction	31
2.7.2	Development of statnamic	33
2.7.3	Basic concept of statnamic	33
2.7.4	Application of statnamic test	35
2.7.5	Statnamic theory	36
	2.7.5.1 Load duration	36
2.8	Stress Wave Analysis	37
2.9	Pyrotechnics	38
2.10	Data Acquisition	40
2.11	Statnamic Assembly	41
2.12	The Result	43
2.13	Statnamic compared to dynamic and static loading	43
	2.13.1 Dynamic testing	43
	2.13.2 Static Testing	44
2.14	Soil Types	44
	2.14.1 Elementary rock classification	44
	3.14.2 Stratigraphy	48
	3.14.2 Hardness	48
	3.14.3 Defects in rock	49
	2.14.4 Uniaxial compressive strength	50
	2.14.5 Rock mass classification	51

3	METHODOLOGY	52
3.1	Statnamic test	52
3.2	Assembly of statnamic test equipment	53
3.3	Data Acquisition	63
4	DATA ANALYSIS	64
4.1	Interpretation of statnamic pile load test results	64
4.1.1	Unloading point method	67
4.1.2	Matsumoto method	68
4.1.3	Simultaneous equation method	69
4.2	Interpretation of maintained load test data	70
5	RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS	71
5.1	Results for bored pile on limestone rock	71
5.2	Results for bored pile on granite rock	78
5.3	Conclusions	85
	REFERENCES	86
	APPENDICES	
	Appendix 1	89
	Appendix 2	91
	Appendix 3	93
	Appendix 4	100
	Appendix 5	114
	Appendix 6	128
	Appendix 7	135
	Appendix 8	142
	Appendix 9	157
	Appendix 10	172

LIST OF TABLES

TABLES NO	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Typical in-situ tests and their application to pile design	9
2.2	Recommended concrete slumps for cast- in- place pile	20
2.3	Schematic classification of igneous rock	45
2.4	Schematic classification of sedimentary rocks	47
2.5	Rock quality	51
5.1	Results for the statnamic test and maintained load test for bored pile cast on limestone rock	72
5.2	Results for the statnamic test and maintained load test for bored pile cast on granite rock	78

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURES NO	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	The unloading-point model	38
2.2	Statnamic devices assembly	42
3.1	Installation of piston and oil application	54
3.2	Piston and oil installation	54
3.3	Ignition system	55
3.4	Fuel cage	55
3.5	Loading of statnamic fuel	56
3.6	Closing of the piston	56
3.7	Installation of base plate	57
3.8	Installation of cylinder	57
3.9	Installation of cylinder	58
3.10	Installation of weight	58
3.11	Installation of weight	59
3.12	Installation of weight	59
3.13	After installation of weight mass	60
3.14	Placing the gravel structure non-supporting panels	60
3.15	Closing of the structure panels and installation of gravel	61
3.16	Portable computer and data logging device	61
3.17	Cell laser source	62
3.18	After testing	62
4.1	Modelling of pie and soil during statnamic loading	
5.1	Results for statnamic test using Unloading Point method for Limestone rock	73

5.2	Results for statnamic test using Matsumoto method for Limestone rock	74
5.3	Results for statnamic test using Simultaneous Equation method for Limestone rock	75
5.4	Results for maintained load test for Limestone rock	76
5.5	Results for statnamic test using 3 methods and maintained load test (3 rd cycle) for Limestone rock	77
5.6	Results for statnamic test using Unloading Point method for Granite rock	80
5.7	Results for statnamic test using Matsumoto method for Granite rock	81
5.8	Results for statnamic test using Simultaneous Equation method for Granite rock	82
5.9	Results for maintained load test for Granite rock	83
5.10	Results for statnamic test using 3 methods and maintained load test (2 nd cycle) for Granite rock	84

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURES NO	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	The unloading-point model	38
2.2	Statnamic devices assembly	42
3.1	Installation of piston and oil application	54
3.2	Piston and oil installation	54
3.3	Ignition system	55
3.4	Fuel cage	55
3.5	Loading of statnamic fuel	56
3.6	Closing of the piston	56
3.7	Installation of base plate	57
3.8	Installation of cylinder	57
3.9	Installation of cylinder	58
3.10	Installation of weight	58
3.11	Installation of weight	59
3.12	Installation of weight	59
3.13	After installation of weight mass	60
3.14	Placing the gravel structure non-supporting panels	60
3.15	Closing of the structure panels and installation of gravel	61
3.16	Portable computer and data logging device	61
3.17	Cell laser source	62
3.18	After testing	62
4.1	Modelling of pie and soil during statnamic loading	
5.1	Results for statnamic test using Unloading Point method for Limestone rock	73

5.4	Results for statnamic test using Matsumoto method for Limestone rock	74
5.5	Results for statnamic test using Simultaneous Equation method for Limestone rock	75
5.4	Results for maintained load test for Limestone rock	76
5.5	Results for statnamic test using 3 methods and maintained load test (3 rd cycle) for Limestone rock	77
5.9	Results for statnamic test using Unloading Point method for Granite rock	80
5.10	Results for statnamic test using Matsumoto method for Granite rock	81
5.11	Results for statnamic test using Simultaneous Equation method for Granite rock	82
5.9	Results for maintained load test for Granite rock	83
5.10	Results for statnamic test using 3 methods and maintained load test (2 nd cycle) for Granite rock	84

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

In every construction, foundation is one of the vital component that need a lot of attention whether in design or in construction. Choosing the correct foundation for a certain project is important to ensure a stable and longer life span of the structure. History shows that the usage of foundation in a structure is not new as it has been long use since man starts built a structure. Until now, there are a lot of efforts to increase the potency of foundation. This effort can also be seen with the introduction of variety of testing that would be suitable to see the effectiveness of foundation use. One of the study use in foundation especially pile foundation is static loading. There are several tests that can be used including statnamic load testing. This method is not new in construction engineering and been used in the west countries since 1988. The Statnamic test has now gained some attention in local construction since it has been used in 1994. Therefore, a study is needed in ensuring that this test can be used effectively in our construction industry

1.1 Objectives

The objectives that would be achieved in this study are:

- i. The advantages and the disadvantages of the statnamic load test compared to the ordinary maintained load test.
- ii. A comparison between the statnamic loading test and the typical maintained load test based on the settlement result and the ultimate bearing capacity of pile.
- iii. A comparison between the statnamic load test and the maintained load test based on different types of soils.

1.2 Scopes

The scopes of this study are:

- i. To compare statnamic test result on bored pile size 750mm diameter with maintained load test result on bored pile size 1050mm diameter cast on limestone rock.
- ii. To compare the statnamic test result and maintained load test result on bored pile cast on limestone rock based on three methods of calculation (Unloading Point method, Matsumoto method and Simultaneous Equation method).

- iii. To compare static test result on bored pile size 1800mm diameter with maintained load test result on bored pile size 1200mm diameter cast on granite rock.
- iv. To compare the static test result and maintained load test result on bored pile cast on granite rock based on three methods of calculation (Unloading Point method, Matsumoto method and Simultaneous Equation method).

1.3 Problem statement

Although the usage of foundation especially the pile foundation is not new in the construction industry the people still not satisfy with the outcome of it. The introduction of latest testing devices shows that people still trying to find the most accurate way in predicting the pile behaviour. Typically several tests will be done for pile to show the integrity of the pile and its capacity. This prediction can ensure that the design of the pile meet the requirement needed for the use of the structure.

For capacity load testing of pile, several tests can be used in determining the pile capacity. The typical static load test that can show pile capacity and its settlement is the maintained load test or *kentledge* load test. This test gives an accurate result of the pile capacity and its settlement. Although this test gives a nearly perfect result of the pile behaviour but it does have several limitations such as long test time and it required a 24-hour close monitoring of the test.

In order to overcome this problem, several choices of tests that would give almost the same result as the ordinary maintained load test have been introduced

including the statnamic load test. This test has actually been used in the European countries since 1988 and has gained a lot of reputation on it. This statnamic load test already came to Malaysia in 1994 and has been used in a lot of projects in the country.

Although it has been almost 11 years since it been introduced here but there are least studies been carried out in comparing the statnamic load test to the ordinary maintained load test. Therefore, this study will be conducted to compare the statnamic load test to the ordinary maintained load test based on Malaysia condition.

REFERENCES

- Amir, Joram M (1986) *"Piling in Rock"* A.A.Balkema
- Chow, Y K and Chew, S H (1997) *"Geotechnical Analysis of Statnamic Pile Load Test on Bored Pile No W47/5 For The Proposed Ampang Kuala Lumpur Elevated Highway"*
- Fleming et al (1992) *"Piling Engineering"* 2nd Edition, Chapman & Hall
- Geonamics (1998) *"Statnamic – Load Testing Technology"* Economy Express Printing
- Goble G.G (1975) *"Bearing Capacity of piles from dynamic measurements: Final report"* Ohio Department of Transportation
- Housel, William (1966) *"Pile Load Capacity: Estimates and Test Results"* Journal of The Soil Mechanics and Foundations Division
- Kirkaldie, Louise (1988) *"Rock Classification Systems for Engineering Purposes"* Symposium on Rock Classification Systems for Engineering Purposes 1987, ASTM special technical publication
- Peck et al (1974) *"Foundation Engineering"* 2nd Edition, Wiley International
- Smith, G.N and Smith, G.N (2000) *"Elements of Soil Mechanics"* 2nd Edition, Blackwell Science